Public Meeting #1
July 6, 2015
Two Rivers Greenway
2015 Master Plan Update
2015 Two Rivers Greenway

Update Master Plan Based on Current Conditions

Development Along Two Rivers Road
- RMI
- Roaring Fork Conservancy
- Pan and Fork Mobile Home Park Removed / New Development Potential
- Our Town Planning Effort
- Recycle Center Removed

Desire to achieve developed Greenway
- Achieve the vision
- Rethink the phasing dependent on funding
## Purpose

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Re-open dialogue of corridor vision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present issues &amp; opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obtain feedback on community needs &amp; desires</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Background
Objectives

“...provide recommendations to enhance the Two Rivers Road Corridor from Midland Avenue west to State Highway 82 in Basalt.”

“Construction of a trail along this corridor, preserving scenic character and wildlife, and enhancing other amenities such as river access for fishing and boating, are key elements of this Master Plan.”
# 2007 Greenway Plan Team

## Primary Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Town of Basalt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loris and Associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Dunnett Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Newland Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Ellsperman Ecological Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The Greenway Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Sopris Engineering</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Guiding Principles

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Respect private property: Be a <strong>good neighbor</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Preserve and <strong>enhance sensitive riparian</strong> and aquatic resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Provide a <strong>safe and enjoyable trail experience</strong> with state of the art design standards appropriate to the area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Provide a <strong>safe, scenic dual-directional road corridor</strong> (including on-road bike use). Accommodate public transit demand either on corridor or elsewhere.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Plan to be <strong>affordable</strong> to build and maintain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guiding Principles (Cont’d.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><strong>Promote connectivity</strong> of west and east Basalt, offering viable alternative transportation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Enhance <strong>diverse passive recreational/educational activities</strong> including ADA accessible, multiple non-motorized trail uses and river access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Promote <strong>quality tourism/economic benefits</strong> to the community as a result of the improvements to the corridor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Promote adjacent public lands/parks and <strong>regional trail links</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Reflect and be <strong>consistent with previous studies</strong> and existing policies and plans.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2007 Greenway Plan

### Thorough Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Several Public and Town Council Meetings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

“There was a **high level of support** shown in the public meetings for the project, although **opinions varied** as to the extent and timing of the proposed recommendations.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unanimously approved (7-0) by Town Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
2007 Greenway Plan

Recommendations

Modified **Option B**: Mid-River Emma Trail Connection

Complete Greenway in **five phases**

Total Cost:  $39.3M
- 2007 cost data increased for **inflation**
2007 Greenway Plan
## 2007 Master Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>What</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$6.4M</td>
<td>10’ Path Midland to Homestead; Homestead Sidewalk; Recycling Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$5.9M</td>
<td>Bike lanes Homestead to SH 82; Fishing Access; Reduce Speed to 35 mph, 25 mph at Accesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$10.6M</td>
<td>10’ Path to Mid-River Park, Mid-River Park; Lake Christine Loop &amp; Feature; Speed 25 mph to Emma Curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$2.2M</td>
<td>Mid-River Pedestrian Bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>$14.2M</td>
<td>4’ Crusher Fines Trail from Mid-River Park to SH 82; Rockfall Mitigation; Mt. Sopris Overlook; Wildlife Crossing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$39.3 M</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
New Developments
ILLUSTRATIVE SITE PLAN

- Areas disturbed during construction to be revegetated with native seed
- Two Rivers Road
- Ornamental grasses
- Native grass
- Low water turf
- Street trees
- Stone bench typ.
- Bike rack
- RMI signage
- Ephemeral depression planting zone
- Path
- Planting east of path by others
- Perennials
- Catchment basin planting
- Stormwater planter
- Stormwater swale planter
- Stone curb typ.

Existing vegetation to remain typ.
Existing wetlands
15' utility easement
3'7" sewer easement
Legend of access easement
CDC Parcel Options
Option 4

Option 4:
Town Council Retreat
April 24, 2015

Option 4D:
P&Z Worksession
May 19, 2015

Option 4D (Modified):
P&Z Public Comment
June 2, 2015
Shared Street (Woonerf)

“A street in which pedestrians and cyclists have priority over motorists.”

“A living yard”
Shared Street (Woonerf)
Safe Routes To School (SRTS)
Safe Routes to School
Safe Routes to School
Whitewater Park

SECTION 1

ACCESSIBLE OVERLOOK
OVERLOOK
ROCK SHELF

SECTION 2

ACCESSIBLE OVERLOOK
OVERLOOK
ROCK SHELF

PITKIN COUNTY WHITewater PARK
CONCEPTUAL SITE ELEVATIONS
FEBRUARY 16, 2009

dunnett design group, inc.
412 N. Mill Street, Unit B-3 Aspen, CO 81611

Original scale: 1" = 5'-0"
Initial Input
Questions #1 to #4

Two-Way or One-Way Two Rivers Rd.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guiding Principles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Respect private property: Be a <strong>good neighbor</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Preserve and <strong>enhance sensitive riparian</strong> and aquatic resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Provide a <strong>safe and enjoyable trail experience</strong> with state of the art design standards appropriate to the area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Provide a <strong>safe, scenic dual-directional road corridor</strong> (including on-road bike use). Accommodate public transit demand either on corridor or elsewhere.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Plan to be <strong>affordable</strong> to build and maintain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
QUESTIONS #1 TO #4

Tight corridor with many costly structural solutions

TYPE P-3: STRUCTURAL WALL
(higher than 42 inches)
WITH 44-INCH HAND RAIL

TYPE 4: STRUCTURAL WALL(S) PAVED TRAIL
WITH 44-INCH HANDRAIL

TYPE 5: TRAIL ON DECK
OR BRIDGE SPAN STRUCTURE
Two Rivers Road

One-way alternate solution
QUESTION #1

Alternate One-way Direction

Traffic control required
QUESTION #2

One-way Eastbound

Traffic control required
QUESTION #2

One-Way Westbound

Traffic control required
Questions #5 to #7
Shared Street
## Shared Street (Woonerf)

### Table: Example Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1</th>
<th>Column 2</th>
<th>Column 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data 1</td>
<td>Data 2</td>
<td>Data 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data 4</td>
<td>Data 5</td>
<td>Data 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure: Shared Street Design Example*
Question #8
Safe Routes to School
Question #8
Question #8
Questions #9 and #10

Whitewater Park
Questions #9 and #10
Questions #9 and #10
The End
Discussion
QUESTION #1
Roaring Fork Conservancy
Bike/Ped Improvements
Shared Street